UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/569,793	02/27/2006	Toshiyuki Kanai	040894-7413	6625
9629 7590 02/11/2008 MORGAN LEWIS & BOCKIUS LLP			EXAMINER	
1111 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE NW WASHINGTON, DC 20004		W	LOPEZ, MICHELLE	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3721	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			02/11/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/569,793	KANAI ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Michelle Lopez	3721
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the o	orrespondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut-Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tinwill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>27 №</u> This action is FINAL . 2b) This 3) Since this application is in condition for allowed closed in accordance with the practice under whether the practice under the practice of the pr	s action is non-final. ance except for formal matters, pro	
Disposition of Claims		
4) Claim(s) 1-4 and 7-11 is/are pending in the ap 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-4,7-11 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	awn from consideration.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomposed and applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct to by the E	cepted or b) objected to by the lead of a drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Section is required if the drawing(s) is object.	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documen 2. Certified copies of the priority documen 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documen application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list.	ts have been received. ts have been received in Applicationity documents have been receive nu (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate

Application/Control Number: 10/569,793 Page 2

Art Unit: 3721

DETAILED ACTION

1. This action is in response to the amendment filed on 11/27/07 and supplemental to the action mailed on 12/12/07.

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

2. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 11/27/07 has been entered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 1-4 and 7-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Olesen et al. 4,573,625 in view of Sesek et al 6,923,360.

The rejections made in the last Office action mailed on 12/12/07 are repeated.

Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. In response to applicant arguments that the finality of the Office Action filed August 8, 2007 is premature because it introduces a new ground of rejection not necessitated by applicant's

Application/Control Number: 10/569,793

Art Unit: 3721

amendment, Examiner disagrees. The newly added reference was added only as directly corresponding evidence to support the prior art common knowledge finding (capability of forming two successive staple members simultaneously), and it does not result in a new issue or constitute a new ground of rejection.

Page 3

- 6. Applicant also contends that Olesen's cartridge extension 508 can not be considered a fixed anvil as recited in claim 1, since such extensions are above and outside of the width of the staple blanks. This is not found persuasive as claims are given their broadest reasonable interpretation consistent with the specification. In this instance, claim 1 merely discloses a "fixed anvil" and it is examiner's position that Olesen' extensions 508 read on the claimed fixed anvil, i.e. a structure formed at a front end of the staple guide for guiding the connected staples to the striking portion as described in applicant specification.
- 7. Applicant also contends that there is nothing in Sesek that can be considered the fixed anvil recited in claim 1. However, Sesek was cited for the teaching of a stapler which forms more than a staple member at a time.
- 8. With respect to applicant arguments that a functional limitation is an attempt to define something by what it does, rather than by what it is, and thus, giving "little consideration" to the features of "wherein the forming plate is capable of forming the staple member" is inappropriate, Examiner contends that a reference is deemed to anticipate a claim when all the recited structural limitations are disclosed by the reference. While the examiner concedes that the reference may not perform the same function, such function does not specifically define structure and, therefore, does not patentably distinguish the claimed invention from Olesen's device. While

Application/Control Number: 10/569,793 Page 4

Art Unit: 3721

features in an apparatus may be recited either structurally or functionally, claims directed to an

apparatus must be distinguished from the prior art in terms of structure rather than function.

Conclusion

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Michelle Lopez whose telephone number is 571-272-4464. The

examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Thursday: 8:00 am - 6:00 pm.

10. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Rinaldi Rada can be reached on 571-272-4467. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

11. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published

applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for

unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about

the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the

Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the

automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/ML/

Patent Examiner

/Rinaldi I Rada/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3721